

A Whole New World

Navigating the Ethical Dilemmas as a Researcher in World of Warcraft

Rhen (Lauren) Hirsh

University of Denver

Introduction

With no physical cultures left unexplored, the Margaret Meads of the world have turned their gaze to research in virtual worlds such as World of Warcraft. The potential research in the virtual worlds created by Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Games (MMORPGs) span many academic fields (Bainbridge 2007:472) and research methods (e.g. , Deeble, 2008; Ducheneaut et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2006). The growth in this research subject has lead to the questioning of what practices of research are ethical online. Is it ethical for researchers to not ask their subjects permission when using their posts? Do researchers have to declare themselves as researchers? Does privacy exist online? What are the implications of publication in regards to individuals as well as the community? What is the best way to conduct research in virtual worlds?

There are numerous conflicting opinions on the answers to these questions so I will condense what has been written on the issues of privacy in conducting research on virtual worlds as well as provide insight on how to do research in a manner that is respectful, effective, and does not hurt the gameplay environment you are trying to study.

Private vs. Public

Why does this matter?

One potential research method for qualitative research is browsing through the numerous forums on Blizzard and using those responses for analysis. However, despite the fact that those people are posting online they may not want to have their responses

made public or used for research. This also is an issue when logging chats in the World of Warcraft. Chatting is one of the main methods of communication. Although it is text based do people mean for it to be recorded? It's important to determine what and is not private because "Without proper and mutual understanding of a public space, researchers may exceed their scope and participants may feel as if their privacy has been violated" (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:45).

Is the Internet Public or Private?

There are some authors who think everything that is posted by users online in a game setting should be private and others, like Joseph Walther, who believe posting online is public and any expectations of privacy are absurd. One issue of discord amongst those who do think users should have some privacy is how much those users should have and when do they have a right to this privacy? Much of the debate centers on the definition of what is public and private. All the authors who believe that some aspects of Internet postings constitute as private agree that even though it is necessary to protect such privacies, it is often hard for researchers to distinguish between what is public and what is private (Eysenbach and Till 2001:1105; Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:44). This is important because if the content is private, the author is not expecting what they say to be used for research, and thus it is required that you obtain the subject's permission to use their statements. If it has been published and is public then you are free to use it as you like so long as it is correctly cited (American Sociological Association as cited by Eysenbach and Till 2001:1104). In the real world, it is easy to distinguish when you are in a public space like a shopping mall from when you are talking to someone in a

private conversation, but do these intimate private conversations exist in a similar fashion in the virtual world? Furthermore, when you are posting something online do you really view it as "publishing" your work for public use? "Although publication on the Internet may have parallels to publishing a letter in a newspaper or saying something in a public meeting, there are important psychological differences, and people participating in an online discussion group cannot always be assumed to be 'seeking public visibility'" (Eysenbach and Till 2001:1104). It is hard, as researchers, to determine what constitutes as public or private while online (Eysenbach and Till 2001:1105) or whether such a distinction even exists (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:44; McKee and Porter 2008:6). Many users feel entitled to a certain level of privacy in MMORPGs, like World of Warcraft, because they have to subscribe to the game and pay a monthly fee in order to play (Eysenbach and Till 2001:1104). Other researchers are critical of the view that posters' expectations of privacy when they post something a group online should be respected (Walther 2002:206). Whether they were intended to be public or not, by publishing them online, the posts become part of the public ledger. "The Report makes frequent mentions of the notion of 'virtual' communities,' and suggests that message posting on Internet venues is experienced by users as an activity intended to be confined to a specific audience" (Walther 2002:206).

Privacy Does Not Exist Online

On the opposite side of the spectrum, Joseph Walther is critical of the view that posters' expectations of privacy when they post something a group online should be respected (2002:206). The author's intended audience, or lack thereof, is irrelevant. If

you were to record in game chats you would not need consent because online communities are public spaces and in a public space there should be no such expectation of privacy (Walther 2002:207). For example, in the real world if you were making observations or recording public conversations in a park it would not constitute as a violation of privacy because it is in a public space and although "people do not expect to be recorded or observed although they understand that the potential to do so exists" (Walther 2002:207).). He argues that using posting online for research is akin to doing analysis on other publically accessible publications like using old newspaper articles or news broad casts (Walther 2002:207). He says we must take the feelings of others into consideration but in the end, "it is important to recognize that *any person who uses publicly-available communication systems on the Internet must be aware that these systems are, at their foundation and by definition, mechanisms for the storage, transmission, and retrieval of comments*. While some participants have an expectation of privacy, it is extremely misplaced" (Walther 2002:207).

Recommendations of Privacy

It is due to this logic that I believe that postings on forums like the ones hosted by Blizzard can be used for research without the expressed permission of the authors of the posts. Even if they did not intend for their postings to be analyzed in a public fashion they do not have the right to such privacy in a public forum. I also think conversations held in a public space like in a large city chat are also fair-game to researchers, because the large number of people in that one area make it a public space. However, more intimate interactions such as guild chats, whispers, or other interviews should be collected only

with expressed consent from those being observed. Legally, there is not an obligation to respect these boundaries, however I feel that in a virtual world these smaller intimate interactions have more personal value to the users and deserve the entitlement of private interactions. That being said, where you are collecting the data and whether that area is public or private should not be the only thing you take into consideration when deciding whether or not to use information. You also need to look at how sensitive the content of the text is, whether or not you would be breaching trust by using it, and if the publication could have negative effects for whom you are observing (Eysenbach and Till 2001:1104). Keep this in mind but more importantly use your own judgment. If someone were to share this information with you in the real world would it be appropriate or ethical to use it for study?

Conducting In-Game Research

Breaking the Magic Circle

Another important area of concern is how your research is conducted. When doing research in World of Warcraft, you must be very careful to respect the rules of the game and not disrupt the magic circle with intrusive behavior for the sake of research. The magic circle of a game are the agreed upon rules and standards that dictate what behavior is acceptable within a game and enable the smooth continuation of the game. (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:42). By breaking the rules of the realm you make the game less fun for gamers, for example in poker, "if a player is found to be cheating by using hidden cards, the circle is broken and the game ceases to be fun" (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:42). This is akin to committing a social faux pas in the real

world, such as picking your nose in public or cutting to the front of the line when buying your groceries.

Researchers at Play: L2p

Unlike other realms of study, in order to observe World of Warcraft you need to participate in the virtual world by playing the game (McKee and Porter 2008:6) and participate in role-play (Reynolds and de Zwart 2010:53). Although you do want to keep the ethical concerns of research in mind, don't monitor yourself too extensively (Constance Steinkuehler in a personal interview as cited by McKee and Porter 2008:20). You have to go beyond gathering data and become a member of the community. Allow yourself to *become* just another one of the players. For example, in a personal interview Constance Steinkuehler describes the unconventional process of research in an MMORPG:

"When I was in-game playing, I was playing just like anyone else. I had play preferences—there were people I told to fuck off when I felt like telling them to fuck off. And I think as a researcher, straight researcher, I would never tell someone to fuck off, but there were lots of time in game when I did it. [. . .]Not self-monitoring too much while in game also built me a lot of trust" (McKee and Porter 2008:25).

This participant observation will provide valuable cultural context for understanding your research (Constance Steinkuehler in a personal interview as cited by McKee and Porter 2008:20) as well as gain trust. As a gamer who happens to also be a researcher you

will get more willing responses than as a researcher posing as a player (Phil Alexander as cited by McKee and Porter 2008:24).

Behave.

If you are a newbie, nubcake, or scrub (a person new to the game and/or does not know how to properly play) be *humble* and admit you do not know the game. If you ask an experienced player questions in a polite way because you want to better your gameplay and understanding of a game, more often than not they will answer you. Some users will be gracious enough to give you some of their time but do not expect all players to have the same attitude. If you rudely pester people with research questions and do not care about improving or do not respect the game you will be ignored, textually torn apart, and get no answers. World of Warcraft players are paying to play WoW, not to deal with your incessant questioning, so treat players with respect. If you are going to spam the chats or message boards with your requests for interviews or the like, be aware that players find that obnoxious and you will likely incur the wrath of the many agitated players you are bothering (Wowiki "Etiquette"). Use your judgment.

Follow the Rules

As a culture in its own right, there are unique rules and behavioral expectations in the virtual world. The advantage of becoming a game player as well as a research is that it familiarizes you with the etiquette rules of the game. It's important that you are aware of gameplay expectations so you do not upset other players (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:47) by accidentally "trolling" or doing something that causes great distress

like "griefing" other players such as harassing them ("Griefing", WoWWiki). If you are not an invested gamer there is ethical concern about "the potential for researchers to circumvent the 'law' [rules of the game] and avoid punishment... A researcher may agree to the social contract, then directly violate it to conduct research. A research, once the questionable research is done, would not fear any punishment that the virtual world could provide, including the most severe punishment, banning" (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:48). It may be tempting to study the effects of trolling by trolling other players and recording their reactions, you might even think it's funny, however the avatars you are harassing are *people*. To you it may just be a game, but to many players their avatars are extensions of themselves (McKee and Porter 2008:17) and they experience real distress when their virtual selves are distressed (Grimes, Fleischman, and Jaeger 2009:43) and to purposely cause harm while conducting research is a violation of your subjects' rights (The National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research 1979:np.). Furthermore, according to *Code of Ethics of the American Anthropological Association* you have an ethical obligation to not harm the "safety, dignity, or privacy" (AAA Guidelines 2009:2-3) of the people you are working with while conducting research. Keep this in mind and be considerate and respectful throughout your research.

Conclusion

Although I addressed the opinions expressed in multiple studies, I did not explore the ideas of World of Warcraft community members themselves. Many times in anthropology, anthropologists make the mistake of assuming how the group they are

studying feels without conferring with those they are studying. Although I address the varying academic opinions, further research should be done gathering the opinions of MMORPG players such as a large qualitative survey on the issues of privacy and conducting research in virtual worlds. This, in combination with the research I have presented would provide a complete roadmap to researchers navigating the World of Warcraft.

References

- American Anthropological Association. (1998, June). Code of Ethics of the American Anthropological Association. Retrieved from www.aaanet.org
- Deeble, L. (2008). Problematic Internet use and the "World of Warcraft"--addiction linked to a quest for meaning. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Database. (AAT 3305382)
- Ducheneaut, N., Moore, R. J., Nickell, E., & Yee, N. (2006, October). Building an MMO With Mass Appeal: A Look at Gameplay in World of Warcraft. *Games and Culture, 1*(4), 281-317. doi:10.1177/1555412006292613
- Etiquette. (n.d.). In *WoWWiki*. Retrieved from www.wowwiki.com
- Eysenbach, G., & Till, J. E. (2001, November 10). Ethical issues in qualitative research on internet communities. *BMJ, 323*, 1103-1105. doi:10.1136/bmj.323.7321.1103
- Griefing. (n.d.). In *WoWWiki*. Retrieved from www.wowwiki.com
- Grimes, J. M., Fleischman, K. R., & Jaeger, P. T. (2009, February). Virtual Guinea Pigs: Ethical Implications of Human Subjects Research in Virtual Worlds. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics, 2*(1), 38-56. Retrieved from www.ijire.net
- Lastowka, G. (2009, October). Rules of Play. *Games and Culture, 4*(4), 379-395. doi:10.1177/1555412009343573
- McKee, H. A., & Porter, J. E. (2009, February). Playing a Good Game: Ethical Issues in Researching MMOGs and Virtual Worlds. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics, 2*(1), 5-37. Retrieved from www.ijire.com

- The National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. (1979, April 18). Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research. Retrieved from www.hhs.gov
- Reynold, R., & de Zwart, M. (2010, December). The Duty to 'Play': Ethics, EULAs and MMOs. *International Journal of Internet Research Ethics*, 3(1), 48-68. Retrieved from www.ijire.net
- Walther, J. B. (2002). Research Ethics in Internet-Enabled Research: Human Subject Issues and Methodological Myopia. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 4(3), 205-216. Retrieved from www.springer.com
- Williams, D., Ducheneaut, N., Xiong, L., Zhang, Y., Yee, N., & Nickell, E. (2006, October). From Tree House to Barracks: The Social Life of Guilds in World of Warcraft. *Games and Culture*, 1(4), 338-361. doi:10.1177/1555412006292616